The ends and means of "data assimilation"

Patrick Heimbach

Oden Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences Jackson School of Geosciences University of Texas at Austin

> Estimating the Circulation and Climate of the Ocean http://ecco-group.org

What is data assimilation?

It's all about ...

- making optimal use of,
- consistently extracting,
- or combining

<u>information</u> contained in observations and physical laws expressed through a model, and taking into account all uncertainties.

- DA seeks to optimally combine information content in observations, models, and their uncertainties(!)
- DA can mean different things to different people
- Depending on application, different methods:
 - forecasting: filter methods (e.g., Kalman filter)
 - reconstruction: smoother / adjoint methods

- Combine the heterogeneous streams of measured state variables with simulations of these same variables
- The way of how we combine is influenced by / takes into account the different sources of uncertainties!



C. Wunsch, in "A Celebration in Geophysics and Oceanography 1982. In Honor of Walter Munk on his 65th birthday."

Formal framework – least-squares objective/cost function



ingredients:

- <u>observations</u> (diverse types, sparse, inhomogeneously distributed in space & time)
- <u>model</u> (various levels of complexity)
- <u>errors/uncertainties</u> (of various kinds)

Least-squares objective/cost function

3 basic ingredients:

observations

$$J = \sum_{i,j,k} \sigma^{2}(i,j,k) \left[y(j,k) - Ex(j,k) \right]^{2}$$
errors

or, more generally (but dropping space indices i, j, k):

$$J = \left[y - \mathbf{E}x \right]^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \left[y - \mathbf{E}x \right]$$

- **E**: operator mapping from model space (x) to obs space (y)
- **R**: error covariance matrix (with error variances σ^2)

The filtering (forecasting) problem



The filtering (forecasting) problem



The filtering (forecasting) problem







- Innovation vector (or residual)
- Analysis increment



- <u>The role/importance of error/uncertainty estimates!</u>
- What if we reduce observation errors?



- <u>The role/importance of error/uncertainty estimates!</u>
- What if we reduce observation errors?



- It's easy to over-fit the data if error bars are unrealistically small!
- But is it <u>good</u>?



- It's *easy* to **over-fit the data** if error bars are unrealistically small!
- But is it <u>good</u>?

- <u>"Analysis"</u> is done in operational (real-time) mode
 - not all observations available in time (< 20%?)</p>
 - forecast model changes over time (e.g., resolution, ...)
- <u>"Re-analysis"</u> consists of:
 - redoing the forecast/analysis steps over extended period
 - use the same model
 - use all observations (incl. delayed-mode)
- Current global re-analyses:
 - ECMWF/ERA-Interim, NASA/MERRA, JRA-25/55, NCEP-CFSR, CMC-GDPS, NOAA/20CR, ...
 - e.g.: Lindsay et al.; Chaudhuri et al. (both J. Clim., 2014)
- Regional Arctic high-res. re-analyses:
 - Arctic System Reanalysis (ASR), PIOMAS (ocean/ice), ...

Various implementations & approximations:

- Nudging
- Relaxation
- Successive correction
- Optimal (or statistical) interpolation
- 3D-Var
- ...

Lots of computational science & engineering involved to make it work



State estimation via smoother (adjoint) method



- Starting from first guess solution with initial condition: $x_0^{a}(0)$, iteratively vary x_0^{a} , such as to minimize model data misfit
- Optimal solution obtained from initial condition $x_{o}^{a}(n)$

State estimation via smoother (adjoint) methods



- Entire model trajectory is adjusted simultaneously
- Sensitivity of misfit cost function to previous states is carried (and accumulated) *backward in time* by the **adjoint model**

State estimation via smoother (adjoint) methods



- Entire model trajectory is adjusted simultaneously
- Sensitivity of misfit cost function to previous states is carried (and accumulated) *backward in time* by the **adjoint model**

State estimation via smoother (adjoint) method



- Optimal initial condition $x_o^{a(n)}$ obtained *iteratively*
- Need to vary J with respect to x^a_o
 - gradient-based optimization!

State estimation via smoother (adjoint) method



- Optimal initial condition $x_o^{a(n)}$ obtained *iteratively*
- Need to vary J with respect to x^a_o
 - gradient-based optimization!

Compare smoother to filter method



- <u>Filter</u> can only propagate information content from observations forward in time
- <u>Smoother</u> uses *past*, *present*, *and future* observation combined!

Compare smoother to filter method



- <u>Filter</u> can only propagate information content from observations forward in time
- <u>Smoother</u> uses *past*, *present*, *and future* observation combined!

Compare smoother to filter method



- <u>Smoother state (blue)</u> follows equations of motions exactly
 - tendency/trends (dx/dt) physically realistic
- <u>Filter state (green)</u> fits observations better
 - validity of tendency/trends unclear

Parameter estimation via smoother (adjoint) method



- instead of varying initial condition $x^a(o) = a$,
- vary slope (i.e. "model parameter") b

Parameter estimation via smoother (adjoint) method



- instead of varying initial condition $x^a(o) = a$,
- vary slope (i.e. "model parameter") **b**

Joint state & parameter estimation via smoother (adjoint) method



- initial condition (i.e. "model state") $x^a(o) = a$
- and slope (i.e. "model parameter") **b**

END

An optimal estimation/control approach

Iterative optimization via gradient obtained from adjoint model



Consider *perfect* model **L** (i.e., $\eta = 0$), and obs. y with noise ϵ :

$$x_{k+1}^t = \mathbf{L} x_k^t$$
$$y_{k+1} = \mathbf{E} x_{k+1}^t + \epsilon_{k+1}$$

Variational form of least-squares estimation problem:

$$J(x) = \sum_{0 \le k \le N} \left[\mathbf{E} x_k - y_k \right]^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \left[\mathbf{E} x_k - y_k \right]$$

Extend to Lagrange function \mathcal{L} , Lagrange multipliers μ_k :

$$\mathcal{L}(x,\mu) = J(x) + \sum_{0 \le k \le N} \mu_k^T [x_{k+1} - \mathbf{L}x_k]$$

< 行 ▶

SQ P

Lagrange multiplier method:

Stationary point of \mathcal{L} leads to set of normal equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}(t)} &= \mathbf{x}(t) - \mathcal{L} \left[\mathbf{x}(t-1) \right] = 0 & 1 \le t \le t_f \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t)} &= \frac{\partial J_0}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}(t) \\ &+ \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L} [\mathbf{x}(t)]}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t)} \right]^T \boldsymbol{\mu}(t+1) = 0 & 0 < t < t_f \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_f)} &= \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_f)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}(t_f) = 0 & t = t_f \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}(0)} &= \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}(0)} - \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L} [\mathbf{x}(0)]}{\partial \mathbf{x}(0)} \right]^T \boldsymbol{\mu}(1) & t_0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

王

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

∃ ▶

-

"Variational" hints that we need a gradient:

- gradient of J with respect to *independent* or *control* variables!
- Here: Vary initial conditions, x_0 such as to minimize J

BUT: *J* depends not just on x_0 , but on all x_k , $k \ge 0$.

- consider *nonlinear model* $x_{k+1} = L(x_k)$
- linearized version is *state transition matrix* **L**

$$\delta x_{k+1} = \frac{\partial x_{k+1}}{\partial x_k} \, \delta x_k = \mathbf{L} \, \delta x_k$$

Need chain rule of differentiation:

$$J = J(x_0, x_1, x_2, ..., x_N)$$

= $J(x_0, L(x_0), L(L(x_0)), ..., L^N(x_0))$

 μ_0

$$= \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_0} = \sum_{1 \le k \le N} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_0} \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial x_k} \right)$$
$$= \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_0} \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial x_1} \right) + \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_0} \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial x_1} \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial x_2} \right)$$
$$+ \dots + \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_0} \cdots \frac{\partial x_N}{\partial x_{N-1}} \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial x_N} \right)$$
$$= \mathbf{L}^T \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_1} + \mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{L}^T \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_2} + \dots + \mathbf{L}^T \dots \mathbf{L}^T \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_N}$$

 \mathbf{L}^{T} : is the adjoint model (and \mathbf{L} is the tangent linear model) $\mu_{k} = \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial x_{k}}\right)$: Lagrange multipliers or gradients

E

For intermediate step of the adjoint model integration one obtains:

$$\mu_{k} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_{k}} = \mathbf{L}^{T} \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_{k+1}} + \mathbf{E}^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} [\mathbf{E} x_{k} - y_{k}]$$
$$= \mathbf{L}^{T} \left(\mathbf{L}^{T} \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_{k+2}} + \mathbf{E}^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} [\mathbf{E} x_{k+1} - y_{k+1}] \right)$$
$$+ \mathbf{E}^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} [\mathbf{E} x_{k} - y_{k}]$$

- The adjoint model \mathbf{L}^T propagates μ_k (the sensitivity of J with respect to all earlier states x_k) backward in time to x_0 ;
- Each model-data misfit (i.e. innovation vector $\mathbf{E}x_k y_k$) is a *source* of sensitivity;
- The gradient of J with respect to x₀ takes into account (and weighs) the size of all misfit terms, all (inverse) error covariances, and all (linearized) model dynamics.

Conclusions

- DA seeks to optimally combine information content in observations, models, and their uncertainties!
- DA can mean very(!) different things to different people
- Depending on application, different methods warranted:
 - forecasting: filter methods (e.g., Kalman filter)
 - reconstruction: smoother methods (adjoint method)
- formal estimation methods to synthesize the diverse & sparse observations seems important for climate reconstructions
 - it is feasible,
 - simply copying NWP approaches not always useful,
 - remains a challenge for the time to come