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## Introduction: ice sheets



Ice front retreat and glacier acceleration
Modeled forced with ice front position




Simulated ice front migration


Bondzio et al., 2018

Ensemble for unknow parameters



## GRACE Observations of Antarctic Ice Mass Changes



Antarctic Ice Loss
(meters water equivalent relative to 2002)

## Outline
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2. Ice shelves around Antarctica
3. Modeling ice shelf melt
4. Coupling ice and ocean models
5. Can we parameterize ice shelf melt?

## Mass conservation

Continuity equation: $\quad \frac{D \rho}{D t}+\rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}=0$
Incompressibility: a continuum is said to be incompressible if its density remains unchanged during motion

$$
\frac{D \rho}{D t}=0
$$

Mass balance of incompressible fluids:

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}=\frac{\partial v_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v_{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v_{z}}{\partial z}=0
$$

## Incompressibility:

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}=\frac{\partial v_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v_{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v_{z}}{\partial z}=0
$$

## Surface evolution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial s}{\partial t}+v_{x}(s) \frac{\partial s}{\partial x}+v_{y}(s) \frac{\partial s}{\partial y}-v_{z}(s)=\dot{M}_{s} \\
& \frac{\partial b}{\partial t}+v_{x}(b) \frac{\partial b}{\partial x}+v_{y}(b) \frac{\partial b}{\partial y}-v_{z}(b)=\dot{M}_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $s$ glacier surface elevation (m)
- b.glacier base elevation (m)
- $M_{s}$ surface mass balance ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ice equivalent, positive when accumulation)
- $\dot{M}_{b}$ basal mass balance ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ice equivalent, positive when melting)


## Energy balance

Conservation of energy: $\quad \rho \frac{D}{D t}(c T)=\nabla \cdot k_{t h} \nabla T+\boldsymbol{\Phi}$

- $T$ ice temperature (K)
- $c$ ice thermal conductivity $(\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{K})$
- $k_{t h}$ ice heat capacity ( $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{kg}$ )
- $\Phi=\sigma: \varepsilon$ deformational heating (W)


## Ice energy balance:

$$
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}=-\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla T+\frac{k_{t h}}{\rho c} \Delta T+\frac{\mathbf{\Phi}}{\rho c}
$$

Phase change included by capturing cold/temperate transition or using enthalpy formulations

## Momentum balance



| Variable | Glacier | Ice sheet | Ice stream |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V_{0}$ | $10^{-6}$ | $10^{-5}$ | $10^{-4}$ |
| $G_{0}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| $R_{0}$ | $10^{4}$ | $10^{6}$ | $10^{5}$ |
| $\Omega_{0}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ |
| $\sigma_{0}$ | $10^{5}$ | $10^{5}$ | $10^{5}$ |
| $\rho_{0}$ | $10^{3}$ | $10^{3}$ | $10^{3}$ |
| $T_{0}$ | $R_{0} / V_{0}$ | $R_{0} / V_{0}$ | $R_{0} / V_{0}$ |
| $S t$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $R e$ | $10^{-14}$ | $10^{-12}$ | $10^{-10}$ |
| $F r$ | $10^{-17}$ | $10^{-17}$ | $10^{-14}$ |
| $R o$ | $10^{-6}$ | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-7}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
S t & =\frac{R_{0}}{T_{0} V_{0}} \\
R e & =\frac{\rho_{0} V_{0}^{2}}{\sigma_{0}} \\
F r & =\frac{V_{0}^{2}}{R_{0} G_{0}} \\
R o & =\frac{V_{0}}{2 \Omega_{0} R_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Momentum balance

Incompressible viscous fluid: $\quad \sigma^{\prime}=2 \mu \varepsilon$
Glen's flow law (1955):

$$
\mu=\frac{B}{2 \dot{\varepsilon}_{e}^{1-1 / n}}
$$

## Boundary conditions:

- Ice/air interface: free surface $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \simeq 0$
- Ice/ocean interface: water pressure $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}=P_{w} \boldsymbol{n}$
- Ice/bedrock interface: $\quad\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}+\alpha^{2} \mathbf{v}\right)_{\|}=\mathbf{0}$

$$
\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}=-\dot{M}_{b} n_{z}
$$

Shallow aspect ratio: Shallow ice approximations (shallow ice and shallow shelf) to separate horizontal and vertical motion

Grounding line or grounding zone?


F: landward limit of ice flexure from tidal movement G: limit of ice floatation (grounding line)
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b}}$ : break-in slope
$I_{m}$ : local elevation minimum
H : seaward limit of ice flexure from tidal movement

Ririghker ett aill., 20009

## Grounding line migration

## Contact problem

- Full-Stokes stress balance
- Boundary condition:

Ice/bedrock if:
$z_{\mathrm{b}}(x, t)=b(x) \quad$ and $\quad-\left.\sigma_{\mathrm{nn}}\right|_{\mathrm{b}}>p_{\mathrm{w}}\left(z_{\mathrm{b}}, t\right)$,
Ice/water if:
$z_{\mathrm{b}}(x, t)>b(x)$,
or $\quad z_{\mathrm{b}}(x, t)=b(x) \quad$ and $\quad-\left.\sigma_{\mathrm{nn}}\right|_{\mathrm{b}} \leq p_{\mathrm{w}}\left(z_{\mathrm{b}}, t\right)$,

## Hydrostatic assumption

- Simplified stress balance
- Hydrostatic condition: Hydrostatic thickness:

$$
H_{\mathrm{f}}=-\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{w}}}{\rho_{\mathrm{i}}} r, \quad r<0
$$

$H>H_{\mathrm{f}}$ ice is grounded,
$H=H_{\mathrm{f}}$ grounding line position,
$H<H_{\mathrm{f}}$ ice is floating.

- Very high resolution required in the grounding line area



## Energy balance

- Heat transfer

$$
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}=-\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla T+\frac{k_{t h}}{\rho c} \Delta T+\frac{\Phi}{\rho c}
$$

## Stress balance

- Incompressible Stokes flow $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\prime}-\nabla P+\rho \mathbf{g}=\mathbf{0}$


## Antarctic ice flow
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- Limited direct observations
- Melt rate estimates:

$$
\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot H \overline{\mathbf{v}}=\dot{M}_{s}-\dot{M}_{b}
$$

- Equal contribution of calving and melting ( $\sim 1300 \mathrm{Gt} / \mathrm{yr}$ )
- Similar results in Depoorter et al. (2013)
- Variety of ice shelves (size, melt rate, calving rate, ...)

Rignot et al., 2013

Ice shelf buttressing


Fuerst et al., 2016

## Larsen B breakup: a natural experiment

## Larsen B break-up in 2002



Crane and Jorum Glacier


Flask and Leppard Glacier



Scambos et al., 2004


Green Glacier


## Impact of ice shelf melt

Change in grounding line flux for a 1 m thinning over $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$



## Elevation change

2003-2009


Sutterley et al., 2014

Acceleration


Mouginot et al., 2014

Grounding line retreat


Rignot et al., 2014
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Greenland tidewater glacier


- Near vertical face
- Large amount of subglacial runoff with strong seasonal signal
- Small systems (1 kms)

Antarctic Ice shelf


- Near horizontal face
- Limited amount of subglacial runoff with no seasonal signal
- Large systems (100 kms)


## Southern Ocean



Rignot et al., 2013


Schmidtko et al., 2014

## Cold ice shelves

- Dense Shelf Water dominates in subice cavity
- Shelf Water has temperature close to the surface freezing point
- Brine rejection during sea ice growth
- Pressure dependence of the freezing point so melt at depth
- Refreezing occurs as water produced by melting becomes supercooled as it rises
- Ross/Weddell Sea

Jenkins et al., 2016

Warm ice shelves


Jenkins et al., 2016

## Varying ocean conditions



## Ice shelf melt from an ocean model

Three equations model (Jenkins et al., 2010)

- Heat balance at the phase change interface

$$
\rho_{i} m L_{i}=\left.\rho_{i} c_{i} \kappa_{i} \frac{\partial T_{i}}{\partial z}\right|_{b}-\rho_{w} c_{w} \gamma_{T}\left(T_{f}-T_{w}\right)
$$

- Freezing point of sea water

$$
T_{f}=a S_{b}+b+c z_{b}
$$

- Salt balance at the phase change interface

$$
\rho_{i} m\left(S_{b}-S_{i}\right)=-\rho_{w} \gamma_{S}\left(S_{b}-S_{w}\right)
$$

- Velocity dependent heat and salt exchange coefficients

$$
\gamma_{T}=\Gamma_{T} \sqrt{C_{d}\left(u_{b}^{2}+u_{\text {tide }}^{2}\right)} \quad \gamma_{S}=\Gamma_{S} \sqrt{C_{d}\left(u_{b}^{2}+u_{\text {tide }}^{2}\right)}
$$

## Ocean circulation



Temperature @ 531.15 - Day: 02-Jan-1998


Schodlok et al., 2012

## Varying ocean conditions

Melt spatially and temporally variable: example of Pine Island ice shelf



Schodlok et al., 2012

Impact of unknow coefficients
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## Need for coupled ice/ocean model ?

Ice dynamics sensitive to ocean melting
(Joughin et al.,2012, 2014; Favier et al., 2014; Seroussi et al., 2014)


Favier et al., 2014

Basal melting sensitive to cavity shape
(Goldberg et al., 2012; Schodlok et al., 2012)


Schodlok et al., 2012

## Coupled ice/ocean simulations



- Interpolation between grids
- Timescales
- Evolution of modeled domain

Ice domain:

- ALE
- Horizontal layers follow topography


Ocean domain:

- Fixed grid
- Remeshing
- Add/remove cells


Goldberg et al., 2018

## Complex grounding line retreat from a seabed ridge



De Rydt and Gudmundsson, 2016

## Simulation of Thwaites Glacier

ISSM-MITgcm simulations:

- 50 year simulations
- 1 month coupling
- 500 m resolution at GL
(sub-element parameterization)
- 2 km ocean model
- 5 year spin-up of ocean with fixed cavity shape

Experiments:

- 1992 forcing (ECCO)
- $1992+0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ forcing
- Uncoupled

Seroussi et al., 2017


## Ocean circulation

Simulated melt rates:

- Pine Island: $88 \mathrm{Gt} / \mathrm{yr}$
- Thwaites: $81 \mathrm{Gt} / \mathrm{y}$ r
- Cosgrove: $37 \mathrm{Gt} / \mathrm{yr}$
- Dotson/Crosson: 24Gt/yr

Potential temperature at 513 m depth


## Evolution of Thwaites Glacier



## Comparison with observations



Grounding line


## Comparison with parameterized melt

Initial melt


Melt parameterization


Uncoupled simulation (1992UC)


| $\underset{\text { E }}{\substack{\text { E }}}$ | -50 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 |
|  | 30 |
|  | 20 |
|  | 10 |
|  | ${ }_{0}$ |



## Grounding line evolution
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## Parameterizations of ocean conditions

- Depth parameterization
- Quadratic local dependence on thermal forcing

$$
\dot{m}=\gamma_{T}\left(\frac{\rho_{w} c_{p o}}{\rho_{i} L_{i}}\right)^{2}\left(T_{o}-T_{f}\right)^{2}
$$

Holland et al. 2008

- Quadratic local/non local dependence on thermal forcing

$$
\dot{m}=\gamma_{T}\left(\frac{\rho_{w} c_{p o}}{\rho_{i} L_{i}}\right)^{2}\left\langle T_{o}-T_{f}\right\rangle\left(T_{o}-T_{f}\right)
$$

$$
\text { Favier et al. GMDD } 2019
$$

- PICO (Potsdam Ice-shelf Cavity mOdel): Box model
- PICOp (PICO + plume model)


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q\left(T_{k-1}-T_{k}\right)-A_{k} m_{k} \frac{\rho_{i}}{\rho_{w}} \frac{L}{c_{p}} & =0 \\
q\left(S_{k-1}-S_{k}\right)-A_{k} m_{k} S_{k} & =0
\end{array}
$$

- $T_{k}$ Temperature of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}}$
- $A_{k}$ box surface area
- $m_{k}$ melt rate of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}}$
- $q=C\left(\rho_{0}-\rho_{1}\right)$ strength of the overturning circulation

Reese et al., 2018

## Comparison of ice shelf melt rates

Depth-Dependent (Modeled)



## Summary

- Ice is a laminar viscous incompressible material
- Ice/ocean interactions are driving most of the dynamic changes observed in the Amundsen Sea (and elsewhere)
- Coupled ice-ocean model:
- produce more realistic estimates of glacier retreat rates than ice model driven by parameterized melt
- limited observations to constrain and validate models
- Ice sheets starting to be included in Earth System models mostly for ice/atmosphere coupling, not ocean (need ocean cavities)


## Questions?
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