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At subpolar latitudes, isopycnals slope steeply across the basin and much of the watermass transformation is associated with the horizontal circulation.

Need to calculate the overturning circulation in density space.
First results from the OSNAP array

OSNAP East dominates the overturning and its variability.
Reconstructing and attributing OSNAP east overturning variability

For linear perturbations:

\[
\text{Response} = \sum \text{sensitivity to winds, SST and SSS} \times \text{anomalies in winds, SST and SSS}
\]

ECCO version 4 configuration of the MITgcm

- ECCO: combines the MITgcm with observations to obtain a time-evolving global ocean state estimate (1992-2015).
- Roughly $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ resolution on a lat-lon-cap grid.
- Eddy and vertical mixing schemes with optimized parameters.
- Optimized surface fluxes (no restoring) and ocean state.

Overturning in density space across OSNAP East in ECCO:

Large variability with an irregular seasonal cycle.
Linear sensitivity estimated using the adjoint to the MITgcm

- Quantity of interest
  - = monthly mean maximum overturning across OSNAP east in density space ($\psi$)
- Controls = surface wind stress ($\tau^x$, $\tau^y$), sea surface temperature (SST) and salinity (SSS)
- Adjoint analysis yields time-varying spatial maps of
  \[
  \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau^x} \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau^y} \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial SST} \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial SSS}
  \]
- Adjoint code generated by algorithmic differentiation (Giering and Kaminski 1998)

Then convolve linear sensitivities with control variable anomalies to reconstruct overturning variability.
Reconstruction of OSNAP East overturning variability in ECCO and OSNAP East observations using wind, SST and SSS and known seasonal cycle.

Reconstruction captures >50% of variability

Skill doesn’t come just from wind

Kostov et al. (2020, submitted)
Contrast with subtropical overturning variability!

Reconstruction of $26^\circ$N overturning variability in ECCO and RAPID-MOCHA observations using wind, SST and SSS and known seasonal cycle.

Reconstruction of $26^\circ$N overturning variability in ECCO and RAPID-MOCHA observations using only direct sensitivity to wind stress.

Variability almost all wind-forced at $26^\circ$N

Kostov et al. (2020, submitted)
Contributions are anti-correlated, with SSS dominating at OSNAP East.

No SSS-induced contribution to overturning variability at 26°N in 2008-2013.
Spatial origins of variability in overturning

Root-mean-square contribution per unit area to the reconstructed overturning variability over 1992-2015

Kostov et al. (2020, submitted)
Conclusions

• The overturning and its variability at the OSNAP array are dominated by watermass transformation east of Greenland.

• Wind alone cannot explain the large seasonal-interannual variability in OSNAP east overturning in ECCO. We can reconstruct 50% of the variability from winds, SST and SSS.

• This contrasts with RAPID, where interannual variability is entirely wind-driven.

• SSS and SST contributions compensate (with SSS dominating).

• Important role for local SSS and SST variations off SE Greenland and subtropical winds.

Ongoing

• Can we attribute the observed variability across OSNAP East to specific anomalies in wind, SST and SSS (in space and time)?

• Is there any useful predictability?

• What are the mechanisms governing the variability?

• How does subpolar overturning variability relate to that in the subtropics?
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